

Total number of schools responses	Overall View						Primary view		Secondary view		
	Answer	No.	Answer	No.	Most Popular Response	% of overall responses	% of Primary School Replies	% of Secondary School Replies			
77											
1	Do you think, in principle, that Warwickshire should use a FSM "ever" indicator in the local formula from April 2012 onwards?	Yes	61	No	9	Yes	79%	Yes	77%	Yes	86%
2	If yes, should we use FSM ever 3 years or FSM ever 6 years?	EVER 6 YEARS	15	EVER 3 YEARS	40	Ever 3	52%	Ever 3	68%	Ever 3	45%
3	If no, do you think it should be reconsidered once the national steer is clearer?	Yes	5	No	6	No (just)	8%	No	11%	Yes	9%
4	Do you think that the Specialist Schools funding should be kept in the same sectors as it does now; that is secondary and special schools?	SAME SECTOR	36	SPLIT OUT	31	Same sector	47%	Split out	57%	Same sector	95%
5	Should the re-allocation to pupil numbers be undertaken in one year (and any reductions covered by MFG) or phased over say 3 years?	1 YR	12	3 YR	25	3 year	32%	Over 1 year (just)	17%	Over 3 years	73%
6	Should the funds be allocated evenly across all pupil numbers in all sectors or should a larger % still be retained by the secondary/special schools? (say 80%/20% or 70%/30%)	Even	6	Percentage	10	Percentage	13%	Evenly	11%	Percentage	27%
7	Do you think that primary schools should retain a base allocation? If not, where should this current funding be transferred to?	Yes	58	No	6	Yes	75%	Yes	91%	Yes	41%
8	Do you think that secondary schools should retain a base allocation? If not, where should this current funding be transferred to?	Yes	17	No	25	No	32%	No	40%	Yes	41%
9	Do you agree that there is value in considering EAL as a factor in Warwickshire's schools funding formula?	Yes	60	No	7	Yes	78%	Yes	75%	Yes	86%
10	If so, do you think that some of this funding should be retained centrally to offer support to school where children arrive in year with EAL?	Yes	63	No	5	Yes	82%	Yes	85%	Yes	77%
11	Do you think this should be as well as or instead of an EMAG allocation?	As well as	34	Instead of	10	As well as	44%	As well as	45%	As well as	45%
12	Do you think that we should still include this factor based on the m2 of schools buildings in a Warwickshire local schools formula?	Yes	40	No	4	Yes	52%	Yes	60%	Yes	32%
13	Do you think that a Warwickshire local formula should allocate money to GRT children?	Yes	26	No	9	Yes	34%	Yes	40%	No	32%
14	Do you think this is suitable to include under the "Deprivation" heading or should they be included in EMAG (as discussed above)?	Deprivatio	7	EMAG	31	EMAG	40%	EMAG	49%	EMAG	18%
15	Do you think that a Warwickshire local formula should allocate money to LAC?	Yes	33	No	4	Yes	43%	Yes	43%	Yes	41%
16	Do you think this is suitable to include under the "Deprivation" heading?	Yes	29	No	5	Yes	38%	Yes	38%	Yes	36%
17	Do you think that a Warwickshire local formula should allocate money to Service Children?	Yes	34	No	11	Yes	44%	Yes	57%	No	32%
18	Do you think this is suitable to include under the "Deprivation" heading?	Yes	15	No	24	No	31%	No	32%	No	32%